BETWEEN US

Relationships. Intimacy. Real life.

DATING WITH INTENTION

MARRIAGE BEYOND THE WEDDING



WHAT WE DON'T SAY

The conversations that change a relationship.

DESIRE, TRUST & STARTING OVER

WHEN FRIENDSHIPS CHANGE

A MEGAVERSE PUBLICATION | PILOT COVER CONCEPT

TWO SIDES. ONE CONVERSATION.

A complete editorial pilot / 18+ readership

04 What We Don’T Say
05 Dating With Intention
06 Marriage Beyond The Wedding
07 Privacy Or Secrecy?
08 When Friendships Change
09 Who Gets The Final Say?
10 The Ex In The Conversation
11 Repair After The Argument
12 Together, But Feeling Alone
13 Starting Over Without A Script
14 Where do you stand?
15 A note from your editor
17 After Hours: the reverse cover
18 The questions we whisper
19 The Part Of Me I Keep Quiet
20 When Touch Feels Like A Test
21 Wanted, But Not Known
22 The Desire Gap
23 Your Past Is Not A Public Record
24 The Fantasy And The Agreement
25 The Secret That Changed The Room
26 Open Relationship, Closed Conversation
27 The Shame We Did Not Choose
28 When The Answer Is No
29 After Hours: the Q&A
30 The unsent letter
31 Your side of the story

WELCOME TO BETWEEN US

Relationships. Intimacy. Real life.

This magazine is for the conversations that rarely fit into a quick answer. Dating, marriage, friendship and desire are connected by the same difficult work: understanding what we want, hearing someone else clearly and deciding what we can honestly offer.

The first side explores the relationships we build in daily life. After Hours, the reverse-cover section, looks more closely at private feelings, rejection, secrets, desire and boundaries. Its intensity comes from emotional honesty rather than graphic description.

You will find full essays, editorial Q&A, discussion prompts and spaces for readers to write. This pilot contains original editorial copy. Any scenes introduced as illustrative are fictional, and the issue contains no claimed expert interviews or collected reader submissions.

In this digital edition both sections read upright. A separate flip-format proof demonstrates how the reverse half can turn toward its own cover. Reader forms and QR links are planned; nothing in this file sends a response or publishes a story.

WHAT WE DON’T SAY

Silence can keep the peace for an evening. It cannot tell a partner what you need.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

A quiet dinner can contain a whole argument that nobody has spoken. One person is waiting to be asked how the day went. The other is relieved that, for once, nothing seems wrong. Both leave the table believing they understood the evening. Neither knows the other’s version.

That is an illustrative scene, but the question underneath it is familiar: what are we asking someone to understand without actually telling them? Wanting to be noticed is different from expecting a partner to read an unspoken script. The need can be valid even when the method of expressing it is not working.

An opening does not have to sound polished. “I have been feeling distant, and I want to talk about it” gives a conversation somewhere to begin. So does naming one moment instead of presenting an inventory of every disappointment. Specificity makes room for a response; sweeping accusations often obscure the actual request.

Listening does not require agreeing with every interpretation. It means finding out what the other person experienced before deciding what their experience must mean. You can acknowledge loneliness without accepting an accusation that you never cared.

The goal is not to make one difficult conversation solve the relationship. It is to stop treating silence as evidence that both people are content. A spoken need can be discussed, negotiated or declined. An invisible one can only be guessed.

Say the need. Leave room for an answer.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

DATING WITH INTENTION

Clarity is more useful than a perfect opening line.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

“I am open to seeing where it goes” can describe honest curiosity. It can also conceal a mismatch that neither person wants to name. The sentence itself is not the problem. The question is whether both people understand what it leaves undecided.

Before asking what someone else wants, try answering that question privately. Are you looking for companionship, an exclusive relationship, a long-term partnership or time to explore? You do not need a complete future mapped out to describe what you are available for now.

Intentions should be allowed to meet reality. Someone may sincerely want commitment and still be unavailable for the time, communication or responsibility a particular relationship requires. Pay attention to the pattern of participation as well as the description.

Clear questions can be warm: “What would building something together look like for you?” offers more substance than testing someone through hints. A response that differs from yours is useful information. It does not need to become a challenge to change their mind.

Dating with intention is not interviewing someone into a guaranteed outcome. It is creating fewer avoidable misunderstandings while allowing attraction, uncertainty and choice to exist. The right to want more belongs beside the other person’s right not to offer it.

An honest mismatch is still useful information.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

MARRIAGE BEYOND THE WEDDING

The celebration has a schedule. The partnership needs a conversation.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

A wedding can make commitment visible. Daily life asks what that commitment means when nobody is watching. Who notices that the household needs something? Who changes a plan when work runs late? Who feels able to say they are exhausted without defending it?

Couples can bring different family habits into the same home and call each habit normal. One person may consider frequent family visits a sign of closeness; another may experience them as a loss of privacy. Neither history automatically becomes the rule for the new household.

Talk about the ordinary details before they acquire years of resentment. Discuss money, time alone, friendships, chores and the kind of support each person expects. An agreement about one season of life may need revision when circumstances change.

Fairness also involves attention. A task may include remembering, planning and following up, not just completing the visible final step. Naming the work can make it possible to divide responsibility without assuming that one partner will quietly coordinate everything.

A marriage is not strengthened by treating every disagreement as proof that the promise has failed. The more useful question is whether both people can participate in revising the arrangement. Commitment can include the willingness to hear that what once worked is no longer working.

Keep choosing how the partnership works.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

PRIVACY OR SECRECY?

Your phone. Your relationship. Where is the line?

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

Sharing a home does not automatically settle what belongs on a shared screen. Some partners exchange passwords casually. Others keep devices private while remaining open about their lives. Neither arrangement can tell the whole story of a relationship from the outside.

Privacy is space a person keeps for themselves. Secrecy can involve deliberately withholding information that contradicts an agreement or affects another person's choices. In real situations, people may disagree about where that distinction falls. That is why the agreement matters.

Ask what has actually been discussed. Are messages with exes welcome, limited or something neither person has addressed? Is a password offered freely, or demanded as a repeated test? Access obtained under pressure is not the same experience as access volunteered.

If something troubling appears, focus on the relevant behavior rather than assuming that more surveillance will resolve the uncertainty. A conversation might begin: "This conflicts with what I understood we agreed. What happened?" A direct answer may still leave a difficult decision.

Trust cannot be reduced to either unrestricted access or unquestioned privacy. It involves how people handle commitments, questions and the truth. A device can reveal information. It cannot do the work of deciding what to do with it.

Access is not the same as trust.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

WHEN FRIENDSHIPS CHANGE

A friendship can matter deeply and still need a different shape.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

We have familiar language for romantic endings. Friendship changes can leave people searching for words. The unanswered message may feel too small to explain the hurt, while the history feels too large to abandon. A person can miss the friendship and dread the next interaction at the same time.

Not every change is a betrayal. Distance, caregiving, work and new priorities can alter how often people are available. Before turning absence into a verdict, ask whether expectations were ever made clear and whether either person has room to explain what changed.

Some patterns do deserve a direct response. Repeated humiliation, ignored boundaries or a relationship that only has space for one person’s needs can make closeness costly. You can name a behavior without presenting a complete case against someone’s character.

A revised friendship may involve less frequent contact, different topics or a pause. It may also end. Closure does not always arrive as a conversation both people consider satisfying. You can decide how you will participate even when you cannot secure agreement about the past.

Let the grief be proportionate to what the friendship meant, not to how other people rank it. Someone who witnessed your life can leave a significant absence. Moving forward does not require pretending the connection was either perfect or worthless.

A changed friendship is not a meaningless one.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

WHO GETS THE FINAL SAY?

Paying more does not make someone worth more.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

A household can divide expenses in many ways. Equal amounts, income-based contributions and arrangements around unpaid caregiving each create different practical questions. The method matters, but so does the meaning people attach to it.

When “I pay for this” becomes the answer to an unrelated disagreement, money has moved from logistics into authority. A larger contribution does not decide whose friendships are acceptable, whose body is available or whose feelings deserve attention. Those are not purchases.

Instead of arguing over who contributes more in the abstract, identify the actual responsibilities. Paid work, care, scheduling and household tasks take different forms. A conversation can recognize those differences without pretending every contribution is easy to price.

Ask what each person understands the agreement to be. Is a payment a gift, shared expense or expected repayment? Unspoken conditions can make an apparently generous gesture feel like a debt introduced after the fact. Clarity belongs at the beginning, not only when conflict arrives.

An equitable arrangement should be discussable. Circumstances can change, and both people need room to raise a concern without being reduced to an account balance. The useful question is not who has earned control. It is whether the arrangement preserves both people’s dignity and choice.

Contribution is not ownership of another person.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

THE EX IN THE CONVERSATION

History can remain part of a life without directing the present.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

Contact with an ex can mean many things: shared parenting, an old friendship, unfinished feelings or a practical loose end. Treating every version as identical makes a useful conversation harder. Start with the actual connection rather than the category.

What is being discussed, how often, and with what degree of openness? A co-parenting exchange and a concealed flirtation raise different questions. The current partner's discomfort is worth hearing; it does not automatically authorize control over every necessary interaction.

A boundary describes participation and expectations. "I am not willing to stay in an exclusive relationship with secret romantic messages" communicates a limit. Demanding that another person prove loyalty by abandoning all independent contact communicates something else.

Be honest about what the current relationship can hold. Someone may not want a partnership that includes a close friendship with an ex. Someone else may consider that friendship a legitimate part of their life. Neither person has to promise a compromise they intend to resent.

The past does not disappear because a new relationship begins. What matters is how people handle its presence: with clear commitments, relevant honesty and respect for the choices of everyone involved. A workable agreement must survive outside the conversation where it was first made.

Discuss the connection, not just the label.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

REPAIR AFTER THE ARGUMENT

An apology opens a door. What follows determines whether it stays open.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

“I am sorry you feel that way” may acknowledge that a feeling exists while avoiding the behavior that caused it. An apology becomes more concrete when it names the action: “I dismissed you in front of our friends. That was hurtful.” The second sentence gives repair something specific to address.

Explanation can add context without cancelling responsibility. Being tired may explain a short temper; it does not require the other person to accept repeated disrespect. A useful conversation holds both the circumstances and the effect in view.

Ask what repair would look like rather than deciding that a grand gesture must settle the issue. Sometimes the needed change is ordinary: allowing someone to finish speaking, following through on an agreement or revisiting a difficult topic without ridicule.

The person receiving an apology may need time. They do not owe immediate reassurance to make the apologizer comfortable. At the same time, a relationship cannot be repaired by a promise neither person is willing to define or revisit.

Watch the pattern after the conversation. The question is not whether a single apology sounded moving. It is whether participation changes. Forgiveness, reconciliation and restored trust are separate choices; none should be treated as a debt that the right words automatically collect.

An apology needs a life after the sentence.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

TOGETHER, BUT FEELING ALONE

Being in the same room does not always feel like being met.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

Two people can share routines while losing the experience of sharing a life. Messages become logistics. Meals become a place to check tomorrow's schedule. Neither partner may have intended the distance, but intention does not make it less noticeable.

Try describing what you miss in observable terms. "I miss talking without our phones on the table" is easier to respond to than "You are never present." A specific request also gives you a clearer sense of whether the other person is willing to participate.

Do not assume that more time together automatically means more connection. A short, attentive conversation may feel different from hours of distracted proximity. Ask what attention looks like to each of you rather than using your own preference as the only measure.

One partner cannot supply all of another person's companionship or sense of self. Friendships, interests and private space can exist alongside a close partnership. The question is whether independence feels supported or whether the relationship has become a place where every bid for connection goes unanswered.

Naming loneliness is not a guarantee of repair. It is a way to make the situation visible enough to evaluate. You deserve an honest response, even when the response reveals a difference that cannot be solved by scheduling another evening together.

Name the kind of connection you miss.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

STARTING OVER WITHOUT A SCRIPT

You do not have to become fearless to make a different choice.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

After a relationship ends, advice can arrive faster than understanding. Get back out there. Take a year alone. Raise your standards. Lower your guard. Each instruction assumes a timetable that may have little to do with the person hearing it.

Begin with what the experience taught you, without requiring it to become a lesson immediately. What did you enjoy? What did you repeatedly explain away? What became harder to say as time passed? The answers can help define future choices without turning every new person into a suspect.

A boundary can be specific and flexible enough to reflect real life. You might decide to ask about intentions earlier or to step back when an important agreement is repeatedly ignored. That is different from trying to eliminate all uncertainty before allowing yourself to care.

New connections do not need to compete with a former relationship's entire history. Familiarity takes time. At the same time, the promise of a fresh start should not require you to overlook behavior you already know you cannot accept.

Starting over may mean dating, remaining single or rebuilding friendships and routines first. None is a lesser ending. The next chapter can be chosen for its fit with your life rather than for how convincingly it proves that you have moved on.

Choose the next chapter for yourself.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

WHERE DO YOU STAND?

“If you trust me, you don’t need my password.”

- ○ A Everyone deserves phone privacy.
- ○ B Password sharing should be mutual.
- ○ C It depends on our agreements.
- ○ D My answer needs more explanation.

What experience shaped your answer? Are you describing a mutual agreement, an expectation you never discussed or a response to a past breach of trust?

Another question: if a partner says no to sharing a password, what conversation would you want next? Write the question you would ask rather than a verdict about the answer.

WRITE HERE OR USE THE EDITABLE READER FORM. ONLINE RESPONSES ARE NOT CONNECTED.

A NOTE FROM YOUR EDITOR



I wanted Between Us to make room for the things we discuss easily and the things we rehearse in our heads before saying out loud. Relationships are bigger than romance alone. They include friendship, commitment, disappointment, desire and the ordinary work of being present.

I also wanted this magazine to ask difficult questions without turning people into a spectacle. A story can be intense and still treat the person at its center with dignity. A conversation can be candid without requiring anyone to abandon a boundary.

My hope is that you find a question worth taking home, a sentence that helps you explain yourself or a perspective you had not considered. You do not have to agree with every page to belong in the conversation.

Thank you for opening Between Us. There is more to say, and I want us to make room to say it thoughtfully.

As you make room for others, remember to make room for yourself. Love your life, protect your peace and give yourself permission to rest. Self-care can be a quiet moment, a joyful experience or a boundary that honors what you need.

Loving yourself first does not mean closing your heart. It means remembering that your needs, voice and happiness belong in the relationship too. Taking care of yourself can help you bring a stronger sense of who you are into the love you share.

Enjoy love. Enjoy life. And through every connection, keep choosing yourself with kindness.

With love,

Tamieka Hicks

Founding Editor / Megaverse Publishing

SOME THINGS NEED A QUIETER ROOM.

After Hours begins on the other side.



In this digital reading edition, continue to the next page.

BETWEEN US

AFTERHOURS

18+ / MATURE CONVERSATIONS



WHAT WE KEEP IN THE DARK

Desire. Vulnerability. The words we struggle to say.

THE QUESTIONS WE WHISPER

THE DESIRE GAP

THE UNSENT LETTER

A MEGAVERSE PUBLICATION / REVERSE COVER / EDITORIAL PILOT

THE ROOM BEHIND THE ROOM

An invitation to speak. Never an obligation to reveal.

There is the story we tell in public, and there is the question that waits until the room is quiet. Am I wanted, or only convenient? Can I ask for something different? If I tell the truth, will I still be seen the same way?

After Hours looks at the emotional weight beneath intimate questions. This is an adult editorial section about desire, secrecy, consent, rejection and the vulnerability of being known. It is candid, but it does not rely on graphic description.

You will encounter illustrative scenarios, clearly identified as such, and original editorial perspectives. They are starting points for thought, not a claim that every relationship can be resolved with the same answer. No expert consultation or reader interview is represented in this pilot.

Take the questions that are useful. Leave the ones that are not yours to answer. You do not owe the magazine, a partner or an audience a disclosure simply because someone asks for one.

Privacy belongs in this room too.

THE PART OF ME I KEEP QUIET

Being known can feel riskier than being wanted.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

A person can be physically close to someone and still carefully edit what they reveal. The omission may not be a dramatic secret. It may be a wish for more tenderness, an uncertainty about desire or a fear that an honest answer will change how they are seen.

Consider this illustrative moment: a partner asks, “Is there anything you want to talk about?” The answer comes quickly: “No, everything is fine.” Later, alone, the speaker rehearses the conversation they wished they had allowed. Silence has protected them from one possible reaction while leaving the question untouched.

You do not have to disclose everything at once to speak honestly. “I have something personal on my mind, and I am nervous about the response” can establish the conditions a conversation needs. You can ask for listening before advice, and you can choose to pause.

The listener has a responsibility too. Curiosity is not permission to interrogate. Hearing a desire does not create an obligation to share it, act on it or turn it into a joke. A generous response can be as simple as thanking someone for trusting you and saying what you do or do not feel comfortable discussing.

The deepest version of intimacy is not unrestricted access to another person. It includes the ability to remain a person with boundaries while becoming more understandable to someone else. Revelation matters most when it is chosen.

You can be honest without surrendering every boundary.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

WHEN TOUCH FEELS LIKE A TEST

Tenderness changes when every gesture seems to carry an expectation.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

A kiss can be a kiss. In some relationships, it stops feeling that simple. One person worries that accepting affection will be interpreted as a promise of more. Another begins to read every hesitation as rejection. The tension can enter the room before either person reaches out.

This is a scenario for discussion, not a diagnosis. The useful question is what each gesture has come to mean. Are both people free to enjoy closeness without needing to continue? Can either person change their mind without being punished with anger, guilt or withdrawal?

A conversation outside the moment can make the assumptions visible. “I want us to be able to be affectionate without deciding what happens next” describes a need without assigning a villain. The other partner can explain what they have been interpreting, while still respecting a limit.

Agreement should be specific enough to matter. Affection is not a contract, and a previous yes does not decide a present choice. Neither disappointment nor a mismatch in desire makes pressure acceptable.

The possibility of a no gives a yes its meaning. If tenderness has become a test that one person is expected to pass, the relationship needs a different conversation from the one about frequency. It needs to ask whether both people are free to participate on their own terms.

A moment of affection is not a promise of more.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

WANTED, BUT NOT KNOWN

Admiration can feel powerful. It does not always feel personal.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

Being desired can be exhilarating. It can also leave someone wondering whether the attention would remain if they stopped performing the version of themselves that receives it. The outfit, the confidence and the ready answer may be genuine parts of a person without being the whole person.

Imagine an illustrative conversation in which one partner can describe the other's appearance in detail but knows little about what has been weighing on them. Neither compliment nor attraction is the enemy. The absence is a different kind of attention.

Ask what being known would look like. Perhaps it means remembering a difficult anniversary, hearing an uncertainty without trying to fix it or asking a question whose answer cannot be guessed from a photograph. An intimate relationship can make space for those details alongside physical attraction.

It is also possible to want a connection that is primarily casual. The issue is whether the people involved understand and freely choose its terms. Pain grows when one person interprets attention as a promise that the other person has never made.

You do not have to devalue desire to ask for more depth. Nor do you have to accept a relationship that offers one kind of closeness while repeatedly dismissing another kind you need. The question is not whether you are wanted enough. It is whether the connection can meet you where you actually live.

Attention and understanding are different gifts.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

THE DESIRE GAP

A difference in desire is a conversation, not a score.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

When partners want different amounts or kinds of intimacy, the disagreement can become personal very quickly. One person may feel unwanted. The other may feel measured against a standard they did not choose. The language shifts from “We want different things” to “Something is wrong with you.”

An editorial conversation begins by stepping away from that verdict. What does each person mean by intimacy? Is the longing for physical closeness, reassurance, novelty, affection or a feeling of being chosen? The same word can conceal different requests.

Talk when neither person is being asked to decide in the moment. Describe your experience without making the other person responsible for proving their love through participation. A mismatch can be painful without making either person’s boundaries negotiable under pressure.

A mutually chosen arrangement must remain voluntary. An agreement that depends on one person enduring something to avoid anger has not resolved the difference. It has changed who carries it.

Some couples find an arrangement that feels workable to both. Others discover an incompatibility they cannot honestly promise away. Either outcome deserves clearer language than failure. The aim is to understand the difference well enough that both people can make informed choices about the relationship.

No one has to prove love by overriding a limit.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

YOUR PAST IS NOT A PUBLIC RECORD

Honesty does not mean being available for interrogation.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

An intimate history belongs to the person who lived it. A present relationship may require conversations about current commitments, relevant sexual-health information and boundaries. That does not automatically make every private memory an item owed on demand.

Ask why a detail is being requested. Is it needed for a decision now, or is the conversation becoming a comparison neither person can win? A question about current exclusivity has a different purpose from repeatedly seeking descriptions that leave everyone distressed.

You can answer honestly while setting a limit: “I will talk about what affects us now, but I am not comfortable describing every past experience.” The other person can say whether that level of disclosure works for them. They cannot turn discomfort into ownership of your story.

Privacy should not become a cover for misleading someone about an agreement that affects their present choices. Equally, a request for openness should not become a tool for shaming someone about a life that existed before the relationship.

The difficult work is identifying what the present actually needs. Trust is not strengthened by collecting details that will later be used as weapons. A relationship can ask for truth without treating a person as evidence to be searched.

Share what the present needs. Keep your dignity.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

THE FANTASY AND THE AGREEMENT

A thought is not a decision, and disclosure is not consent.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

An unspoken fantasy can acquire more emotional weight than the idea itself. Someone may wonder what it says about them, whether a partner will judge them or whether mentioning it will sound like a request. These are different questions and deserve to be separated.

A private thought does not automatically describe an action someone intends to take. Sharing it does not commit either person to acting on it. A conversation can remain a conversation, and either person can decide that a topic is outside their comfort.

Before introducing a sensitive subject, ask whether the other person is willing to discuss it. “There is something about desire I am curious to talk through. Is this a good time?” leaves space for a genuine response rather than presenting surprise as a test of openness.

The answer may be curiosity, indifference or a clear no. None gives permission to pressure, ridicule or repeatedly reopen a settled limit. Mutual interest matters more than the intensity of one person’s wish.

This magazine does not need graphic details to examine the emotional stakes. The deeper question is how people hold a difficult truth without turning it into an obligation. Sometimes understanding increases even when the answer to acting on an idea remains no.

Understanding is not an agreement to participate.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

THE SECRET THAT CHANGED THE ROOM

Learning the truth can change the meaning of what came before.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

In an illustrative scenario, a person discovers messages that contradict an agreement of exclusivity. The immediate hurt is not only about the messages. Ordinary memories begin to feel uncertain: the cancelled evening, the reassuring answer, the conversation in which a concern was dismissed.

It is tempting to ask for one final detail that will make everything coherent. Sometimes information is necessary for an informed decision. Sometimes the search keeps expanding because no amount of detail can restore the feeling of certainty that was lost.

Accountability requires more than asking the hurt person to move forward. It means acknowledging what was done, answering relevant questions honestly and accepting that the other person may choose not to continue. A disclosure does not purchase forgiveness.

The person deciding what comes next does not need to settle the whole future in the first conversation. They can identify what they know, what remains unclear and what boundaries they need now. Pressure to decide quickly can obscure the actual choice.

Repair is one possible direction; leaving is another. Neither should be romanticized as proof of strength. The meaningful outcome is a decision made with relevant truth and room to choose, rather than an ending selected to protect someone else from the consequences of their actions.

Truth can inform a choice. It cannot demand forgiveness.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

OPEN RELATIONSHIP, CLOSED CONVERSATION

A new label cannot do the work of a mutual decision.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

Some adults choose exclusive relationships. Others freely choose different arrangements. The label alone cannot tell you whether a particular agreement is clear, honest or workable. What matters is how the people involved understand and participate in it.

A difficult scenario arises when an arrangement is proposed as the price of keeping a relationship. One partner says they want a change; the other feels they must agree or lose everything. Calling the result openness does not remove the pressure in the conversation.

Each person needs room to state what they actually want. A boundary can remain a boundary even when someone else sees a different arrangement as more adventurous. Saying no does not require an argument that the other person's preference is illegitimate.

If people do choose a change, they need concrete discussions about expectations, disclosure and what happens when a concern arises. New people are not tools for repairing an existing disagreement; they have their own choices and deserve honest information too.

No relationship structure can eliminate uncertainty or excuse deception. A workable agreement is one the people involved can discuss, revisit and decline without being shamed into a role. The deepest question is not how modern the arrangement sounds. It is whether the yes belongs to everyone saying it.

A new label cannot turn pressure into agreement.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

THE SHAME WE DID NOT CHOOSE

Some of the harshest judgments arrive in someone else's voice.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

A person may enter adulthood carrying rules they never consciously selected. Wanting too much is embarrassing. Wanting too little is a failure. Asking a question makes you inexperienced. Having an answer makes you suspect. Contradictory messages can leave very little room to simply be honest.

Notice the language you use privately. When a feeling appears, is the first response curiosity or an insult? You do not have to approve every impulse to stop treating the existence of a feeling as evidence that you are unworthy of care.

A useful distinction is between judgment of a person and evaluation of an action. You can take responsibility for behavior, honor consent and consider consequences without declaring yourself fundamentally defective. Responsibility becomes clearer when it is not buried under a performance of self-disgust.

Choose carefully where to share vulnerable information. Not everyone has earned access to every part of your life. A response that mocks or exploits your disclosure tells you something about that interaction, not the full truth about your value.

This is not a demand to become completely open or endlessly confident. It is an invitation to ask which beliefs actually reflect your values and which ones keep repeating because they are familiar. A private question deserves a more thoughtful answer than an inherited insult.

Question the judgment before making it your identity.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

WHEN THE ANSWER IS NO

A boundary does not need a dramatic explanation to count.

BETWEEN US EDITORIAL / ORIGINAL ESSAY

People sometimes imagine a boundary as a final statement delivered with total confidence. In practice, it may arrive quietly: not tonight, not that, not with me. It may be uncertain in tone while still being clear in meaning. Respect should not depend on the quality of the delivery.

The recipient may feel disappointed. That feeling can exist without becoming an argument the other person is expected to solve through participation. A no is not an invitation to bargain until someone becomes tired of refusing.

The same principle applies outside physical intimacy. Someone can decline a conversation, a photograph, a public disclosure or the use of their story. Previous closeness does not create permanent permission. A relationship is not a subscription to every future yes.

You can express a boundary without presenting your whole history: “I do not want to” may be the complete answer. You can also revise a choice. Beginning something does not remove the right to stop.

An intimate relationship makes these choices easier to express, not more expensive. When a limit is met with respect, the conversation can continue honestly. When it is met with punishment, the important question is no longer how to make the refusal sound nicer. It is whether your choice is being respected at all.

A no does not need to audition for acceptance.

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIOS ARE FICTIONAL. NO READER TESTIMONY IS IMPLIED.

ASK IT QUIETLY. ANSWER IT HONESTLY.

Q: Can I love my partner and still feel attracted to someone else?

A: A feeling and a decision are different. Consider how you act, what agreements you have made and whether your choices honor them. Attraction does not decide the next step for you.

Q: Do I have to share a desire if I am not ready?

A: No. You can choose when, how and whether to disclose a private feeling. If you do talk, you can ask to be heard without asking anyone to act on it.

Q: If I stay after a betrayal, do I have to stop asking questions?

A: Staying does not erase the need for relevant truth or accountability. Discuss what repair would require; neither immediate forgiveness nor unlimited interrogation is an automatic condition of continuing.

Q: What if the answer to my request is no?

A: You can acknowledge disappointment while respecting the boundary. Then decide honestly what the difference means for the relationship. Pressure is not a solution to incompatibility.

Original editorial responses / Not an expert interview

THE UNSENT LETTER

What do you wish you could say about intimacy?

The thing I have not known how to explain is…

What I want you to understand, without assuming, is…

The boundary I need to say clearly is…

The response I hope for is…

This can remain entirely private. Writing does not commit you to sending it.

YOUR STORY. YOUR CHOICE.

An invitation for future reader contributions.

What question should Between Us explore next? You can write a perspective without giving a full personal history. Leave out names and identifying details about anyone else.

The editable pack includes a private response worksheet. It does not send data anywhere. A submission channel, review process and privacy notice must be set up before online contributions open.

For future submissions: use a first name, nickname or Anonymous. Provide an email only if you want follow-up. Publication permission should be a separate, optional choice; submitting a response should not sign someone up for marketing.

My perspective

Display name or Anonymous

Email (optional; not published)

☐ I give permission for my response to be considered for publication.

RESPONSE CHANNEL NOT YET LIVE / NO QR CODE HAS BEEN ACTIVATED

CLOSE THE ISSUE. KEEP THE CONVERSATION.

Being understood begins with room to speak.

Between Us / After Hours — a Megaverse Publishing editorial pilot. Founding editor: Tamieka Hicks. Editor's note is a proposed draft for her review. Editor portrait supplied by Tamieka Hicks.

All essays and Q&A are original draft editorial copy created for this pilot with AI assistance. Illustrative scenes are fictional. No real reader confessions, expert interviews or celebrity participation are claimed. Cover and still-life artwork are AI-generated. The editor portrait is a user-supplied photograph with an AI-edited neutral background.

This is a digital design and editorial proof. Name clearance, factual review where applicable, final copyediting, permissions and printer specifications remain before commercial publication. The flip-format proof demonstrates page orientation; it is not an imposed or bleed-ready press file.

The response worksheet is private and offline. No response form, QR destination, payment service or subscription has been activated by this magazine.